

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,794	Applicant(s) LI, CHUNG-SHUAN	
	Examiner Sara Clarke	Art Unit 3749	

All Participants:

(1) Sara Clarke.

(2) Brian Hameder.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No


If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
all
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

For reasons unknown, a portion of the faxed amendment received 12/8/04 was not clearly discernible such that the examiner believed the printing of a patent from the amendment could be flawed. The examiner asked Mr. Hameder to fax a copy of the claims for attachment to an examiner's amendment. The fax cover sheet and pages 1 and 2 of the amendment are attached to the interview summary.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)